


RESPONSE RATE


2010-11 Response Rate

Trend in Survey Response Rate




Degree-Granting Status


Respondents by Institutional Size and Type




Trends in Respondent Composition by Institutional Size




Average Study Abroad Enrollment by Private/Public Status






3-Year Trend/Forecast in Total Study Abroad Enrollments



3-Year Enrollment Trend/Forecast by Term



TC

Policy Actions Institutions are Taking or are Considering Taking

<u>s</u>	(N=91) Cut budgets	50/	32%
Operations	Reduce/eliminate travel	5%	29%
Opera	Implement hiring freeze	11%	27%
<u> </u>	implement hinnig neeze	4%	
t	Cap study abroad enrollments	7% 9%	
	Limit the number of times that students may study abroad	4% 8%	
Student	Limit transfer of home school financial aid to one term	9%	Taking
S	Institute earlier, stricter application deadlines	5%	Considering Taking
	Stop transferring home school financial aid	3% 3%	
	Increase use of home-grown programming	13%	
ning	Use lower cost programs	12%	
Programming	Shift students to less expensive geographic areas	7% 20%	
Prog	Shift emphasis to shorter-term programs	8% 10%	
		% of Respondents	5


TQ

IES ABROAD.

OFFICE

STAFFING RATIO

Trends in Student-to-Staff Mean and Median Ratios




Trends in Study Abroad Office Staff Size by Average FTE								
		06-07 (N=84)	07-08 (N=71)	08-09 (N=79)	09-10 (N=101)	10-11 (N=89)		
щ	Mean	4.2	5.7	6.0	5.8	6.7		
FTE	Median	2.9	3.8	4.4	4.1	5.0		
FTE ranged between 1 and 35								
Note: Full Time Equivalent (FTE) is the sum of full-time staff (40 hours/week) and paid part-time equivalents. Part-time equivalent was calculated by adding the total hours/week worked by all part-time staff and dividing by 40.								

Example: If a school has two part-time employees who each work 20 hours/week, for a combined 40 hours/week, then those two people would count as one unit of total staff.

2010-11 IES Abroad Membership Survey © 2011 by IES Abroad, All rights reserved


IES ABROAD.

Trends in Student-to-Staff Ratio by Number of Students Studying Abroad



Trends in Percentage of Respondents by Student-to-Staff Ratio Range								
Range of Study	% of Respondents within Ratio Range							
Abroad Students per Staff FTE	06-07 (N=84)	07-08 (N=71)	08-09 (N=79)	09-10 (N=88)	10-11 (N=86)			
0 - 50 students	18%	23%	22%	18%	20%			
51 - 100 students	37%	38%	38%	51%	48%			
101 - 200 students	37%	37%	38%	30%	27%			
201+ students	8%	3%	3%	1%	6%			


Average Study Abroad Student-to-Staff Ratio by Institutional Size



Trends in Average Student-to Staff Ratio by Institutional Size								
	Number of Students per Staff Member							
Undergraduate Enrollment Range*	06-07 (N=84)	07-08 (N=71)	08-09 (N=79)	09-10 (N=88)	10-11 (N=86)			
< 2,500	98	98	79	88	80			
2,501 - 5,000	88	95	87	66	75			
5,001 - 10,000	114	61	107	93	122			
10,001 - 16,000	223	69	179	84	104			
16,000+	83	94	97	106	112			


* Source: U.S. News & World Report 2010

Public/Private Trends in Average Study Abroad Student-to-Staff Ratios





Scope of Study Abroad Office Functions, 2010-11





Scope of Office Functions by Institutional Size, 2010-11

			Estimated Und	ergraduate Enro	ollment Range*	
		600-2,500 (N=34)	2,501-5,000 (N=18)	5,001-10,000 (N=15)	10,001-16,000 (N=7)	16,001+ (N=16)
	Advising	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
g	Administration	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Abroad	Credit Transfer Oversight	68%	44%	80%	57%	56%
udy Abroa Functions	Billing (student and/or provider)	41%	39%	87%	71%	69%
Study Func	Institution's Own Study Abroad Programs	62%	67%	73%	100%	88%
St	Institution's Own Faculty-led Programs	65%	89%	67%	86%	94%
	Other	21%	11%	13%	14%	19%
al ted	Advising	41%	56%	20%	71%	44%
ion; elat ons	Administration	41%	61%	20%	71%	44%
International Student-related Functions	Credit Transfer Oversight for Foreign Transcript Evals	18%	6%	7%	0%	0%
iter ider Fun	None	47%	28%	40%	14%	31%
Stu	Other	18%	22%	40%	29%	25%
s	Immigration Advising	29%	50%	13%	43%	19%
lion	Fellowships/Outside Scholarships & Grants	44%	29%	40%	14%	38%
Other Functions	Exchanges	56%	78%	87%	86%	94%
Ĕ	Other	26%	6%	20%	14%	69% 88% 94% 19% 44% 0% 31% 25% 19% 38%

*Source: U.S. News & World Report 2010


OFFICE FUNCTION TRENDS


Trends in Scope of Study Abroad Office Functions

	Percentage of Respondents				
Office Function	06-07 (N=89)	07-08 (N=78)	08-09 (N=81)	09-10 (N=101)	10-11 (N=90)
Study Abroad Advising/Administration	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Manage Institution's Own Faculty-led Programs*	-	64%	74%	75%	77%
Exchanges	73%	74%	66%	75%	74%
Manage Institution's Own Study Abroad Programs*	-	73%	78%	70%	73%
Credit Transfer Oversight (study abroad only)	54%	54%	62%	62%	62%
Study Abroad Billing (student and/or provider)	-	53%	58%	51%	56%
International Student Advising	35%	37%	37%	38%	43%
Fellowships/Outside Scholarships & Grants	37%	29%	33%	28%	30%
Immigration Advising	30%	32%	30%	26%	37%
Credit Transfer Oversight for Foreign Transcript Evals	17%	18%	6%	8%	9%
Other	27%	12%	25%	23%	18%

*Response stem added in 2007-08

Percentage of Staff Time Spent Managing Different Types of Programs, 2010-11







2010-11 IES Abroad Membership Survey © 2011 by IES Abroad, All rights reserved

Program Management

Percentage of Study Abroad Students Enrolling in Different Types of Programs, 2010-11






2010-11 IES Abroad Membership Survey © 2011 by IES Abroad, All rights reserved

ABROAD.

Program Management – Student Enrollment

Returns in Study Abroad Enrollments to Program Management Time by Institutional Size




the percentage of study abroad students that participate in provider programs, based on the size of the institution.

ABROAD

Program Management

Returns in Study Abroad Enrollments to Program Management Time by Institutional Size



Understanding the Data

This chart shows the percentage of Study Abroad Office staff time expended on managing faculty-led programs compared to the percentage of study abroad students that participate in faculty-led programs, based on the size of the institution.


ABROAD

Program Management

Π

FACULTY-LED PROGRAMS

Returns in Study Abroad Enrollments to Program Management Time by Institutional Size



Understanding the Data

This chart shows the percentage of Study Abroad Office staff time expended on managing exchange programs compared to the percentage of study abroad students that participate in exchange programs, based on the size of the institution.

ABROAD.


Program Management

EXCHANGE PROGRAMS

IES abroad.

Program Management – Other Programs

Returns in Study Abroad Enrollments to Program Management Time by Institutional Size



Understanding the Data

This chart shows the percentage of Study Abroad Office staff time expended on managing "other" programs compared to the percentage of study abroad students that participate in "other" programs, based on the size of the institution.